

EEA Grants Programme
Scholarships and inter-institutional cooperation in the Higher
Education area of Romania, Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein
Financed by EEA Financial Mechanism

Preparatory Visits
Quality assessment form

APPLICANT INSTITUTION:

ERASMUS ID code (if applicable):

APPLICATION NUMBER:

TITLE OF PROJECT:

EXPERT'S NAME:

Note: Each criteria will be assessed according with the maximum score presented in the sections 1-3. If an application receive after the quality assessment (section 1-2) less than 36 points it will be declared rejected. Each application will be assessed by one P.O. expert. The priority points for applications that address social sustainability issues, in particular initiatives that help combat discrimination and intolerance and initiatives that will improve the situation for the Roma population will be allocated only in cases when the project obtains at least 36 points for quality assessment.

I. QUALITY ASSESSMENT

1) Content and duration	Score
1.a There is an agenda and a working programme for the visit	/10 points
1.b The programme is clear and reasonable; its duration is realistic and appropriate	/10 points
1.c The role of each participant (visiting or hosting) is well described	/10 points
1.d The contribution of planned activities (during the visit) to initiating a new project is well described	/10 points
Overall score for section 1	/40 points
Comments:	

2) Relevance	Score
2.a There are attached letters of intent from the sending and host universities, confirming the support for a preparatory visit with a view to initiate a new project.	/10 points
2.b There is a clear and documented link between	

the activities and strategy of the applying university and the goal and content of the preparatory visit.	/10 points
Overall score for section 2	/20 points
Comments:	

3) Specific objective	Score
Applications for visits aiming at initiating projects that address social sustainability issues, in particular initiatives that help combat discrimination and intolerance, and initiatives that will improve the situation for the Roma population will be encouraged through additional priority points (20% of the maximum possible score)	/12 points
Comments:	

II. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION	
Final score (overall score for sections 1-3) (max. 60 points + 12 points for section 3 if applicable)points

III. OVERALL COMMENTS
<p>The comments should relate to your assessment of the strengths, weaknesses and potential of the application, relative to the award criteria. The comments should justify the assessment conclusion.</p> <p>Please formulate them very carefully as your comments will be sent to the applicant if rejected.</p>
<p><u>Comments on the proposal:</u></p>

IV. SIGNATURE OF THE EXPERT

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that I have no conflict of interest (including family, emotional life, political affinity, economic interest or any other shared interest) with the organisation(s) or any of the persons having submitted this grant application.

Furthermore, I confirm that I will not communicate to any third party any information that may be disclosed to me in the context of my work as an evaluator.

Signature of the independent expert:

Name:

Date / / (dd/mm/yyyy)