

EEA Grants Programme
Scholarships and inter-institutional cooperation in the Higher Education area of Romania, Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein
Financed by EEA Financial Mechanism, Bilateral Funds

Small size bilateral cooperation projects
Quality assessment form

APPLICANT INSTITUTION:

ERASMUS ID code (if applicable):

APPLICATION NUMBER:

INDEPENDENT EXPERT'S NAME:

Note: Each criteria will be assessed in concordance with the maximum score presented in the sections 1-5. If an application receive after the quality assessment (sections 1-5) less than 60 points it will be declared rejected. Each application will be assessed by one independent expert.

I. QUALITY ASSESSMENT

1) Relevance	Comments
1.a The activities and expected results are clearly relevant to the objective of strengthening the bilateral relations between Romania and DS, in general and to enhance bilateral cooperation between the two universities, in particular	
1.b Objectives are clear and realistic and refer to an adequate topic/target group.	
Overall score for section 1	/20 points
Comments:	

2) Quality of the work programme	Comments
2.a Organisation of project work is clear and appropriate for fulfilling objectives.	
2.b The project planning and the distribution of tasks/activities between partners is as such as to guarantee results achieved in due time and within budget. The implementation calendar is realistic.	
Overall score for section 2	/20 points

Comments:

3) Added value for bilateral relations in both countries	Comments
Larger and further benefits resulted from the bilateral cooperation between the two universities are clearly envisaged and demonstrated	
Overall score for section 3	/20 points
Comments:	

4) Cost effectiveness	Comments
The proposed project is cost effective in terms of budget needed to implement planned activities.	
Overall score for section 4	/20 points
Comments:	

5) Impact and sustainability	Comments
5.a Foreseeable impact on approaches, target groups, systems and bilateral relations is clearly defined and measures to ensure the impact are in place.	
5.b Is there a procedure, methods and instruments to evaluate the progress of the project during implementation? Are there measures regarding sustainability of the project?	
Overall score for section 5	/20 points
Comments:	

II. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Final score (overall score for sections 1-5)
(max. 100 points)

.....

III. OVERALL COMMENTS

The comments should relate to your assessment of the strengths, weaknesses and potential of the application, relative to the award criteria. The comments should justify the assessment conclusion.

Please formulate them very carefully as your comments will be sent to the applicant if rejected.

Comments on the proposal:

IV. SIGNATURE OF THE EXPERT

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that I have no conflict of interest (including family, emotional life, political affinity, economic interest or any other shared interest) with the organisation(s) or any of the persons having submitted this grant application.

Furthermore, I confirm that I will not communicate to any third party any information that may be disclosed to me in the context of my work as an evaluator.

Signature of the independent expert:

Name:

Date / / (dd/mm/yyyy)